

Appl. No. 9/471,857
Amdt. dated Sep. 02, 2004
Reply to Office Action of Jun. 08, 2004

NC 29176

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

In the specification, the sentence of page 3 lines 14-17 has been amended to be consistent with the Prior Art notation on Fig. 3 and Fig. 4.

In amended Fig. 3 and Fig. 4 the term "Digital" is now correctly spelled.

Claims 1, 5-13, 15, 16 and 20 remain in this application, wherein claim 1 has been objected to as alleged "codes" on line 6 should be changed to "code" for consistency. Applicant has carefully examined claim 1, and notes "code" appears in line 6 according to the amended claim language contained in the response of July 29, 2003. This same claim language has been reproduced above, but if the examiner's copy of claims includes the term "codes", he is authorized via examiner's amendment to change "codes" to "code" in claim 1 of the present application.

A one month extension of time appears in the appendix, as a \$110 charge should be made to deposit account 50-0270.

Appl. No. 9/471.857
Amdt. dated Sep. 02, 2004
Reply to Office Action of Jun. 08, 2004

NC 29176

Accordingly, the objections have been addressed in the present response. Present claims 1,5-13,15,16 and 20 are believed to be in allowable form having overcome all existing objection set forth within the office action of June 8, 2004. Therefore, the applicant respectfully requests allowance of all the claims and issuance of a notice of allowance.

Respectfully submitted,



Thomas R. Weber
Reg. No. 41,547
September 02, 2004